

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

1401 PERS-00 23 Aug 16

From: Commander, Navy Personnel Command

To: President, FY-17 Information Warfare Community Command

and Milestone Screen Board

Subj: ORDER CONVENING THE FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY

COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN BOARD

Ref: (a) FY-17 Administrative Selection Board Precept

Encl: (1) Board Membership

(2) Administrative Support Staff

(3) Board Authorized Selections

(4) Panel Membership

1. <u>Date and Location</u>. This administrative selection board, consisting of you as president and the members listed in enclosure (1), is ordered to convene at Navy Personnel Command, Millington, TN, at 0800, 29 August 2016, or as soon as practicable thereafter. The board shall proceed in accordance with all guidance in this letter and the FY-17 Administrative Selection Board Precept, reference (a).

2. Function

- a. The function of the board is to recommend Information Warfare Community (IWC) officers for Captain (O6) Command and Milestone, Commander (O5) Command and Milestone, and Carrier Strike Group (CSG) IW Commander assignments. The board will also recommend IWC Acquisition Corps (AC) eligible Captains and Captain (Selects) for nomination to Major Acquisition Corps or as Major Program Managers.
- b. To ensure that standards of performance are maintained, the board will also review for continued eligibility, officers previously "screened," who were "banked" and have not yet reported as Commanding Officers by the board convening date. Those officers whose records, in the opinion of a majority of the board members, indicate significant decline in performance, or contain material subsequent to the last board that casts doubt upon their qualifications to serve as a Commanding Officer, shall be reported to the Deputy Chief of Naval

Personnel. This report shall include a recommendation regarding continuation on the Command Screened list, as appropriate for their paygrade. The purpose of this review is to ensure the continuing quality of officers selected for Command.

3. Board Authorized Selections

- a. Command and Milestone Panels. The total number of candidates that may be recommended for 05/06 Command and Milestone is outlined by panel and listed in Enclosure (4).
- b. Acquisition Corps Nominative Panel. The AC Nominative Screen goal is to select qualified IWC officers (from designators 1800, 1810, 1820 and 1830) to compete for major acquisition command or major program manager positions in the Systems Commands Slating Panels. The total number of candidates that may be selected is listed in Enclosure (3).
- c. Officer "Bank". Each Command Screening Group (panel), to include the CSG IW Commander panel, will screen to a "bank" vice specific availability to allow for flexibility in detailing. Officers that screen for Command may not be offered Command in FY-17. These selectees will receive the proper "Command Screen Result (CSR)" code and remain eligible for future orders. If not serving in a Command billet when subsequent IWC Command and Milestone Boards convene, these "banked" officers will rescreen as outlined in paragraph 2.b.
- Qualified/Insufficient Opportunity (QIO). Command Screening Group (panel) there may also be officers who miss selection because of the limited quotas, but whose record clearly meets selection criteria. The board should identify such officers to the recorder. Those officers will also be annotated in the recorder's ledger. Those names will be promulgated in the Post Board Report, ranked in order of merit (priority) by the board, and not published. Should a need arise during the fiscal year for an additional officer to fill a screen-required assignment after the bank is depleted, a QIO officer shall be considered qualified and additional administrative procedures need not be pursued. PERS-47B (IWC/FAO Assignments Deputy Division Director) will, through fiscal year 2017, allocate QIOs in priority order when required. Upon adjournment of the FY-18 IWC Command and Milestone Board, the FY-17 QIO list is nullified.

- Subj: ORDER CONVENING THE FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN BOARD
- e. <u>Promotion Status and Eligibility</u>. Officers, whose promotions to 05 or 06 remain held in abeyance by NPC PERS-8 action on the board convene date, shall not be eligible for this board.
- f. <u>O6 IWC Command Selection and Slating</u>. IWC Captains who are board-selected to serve as commanding officers are considered eligible to serve in any IWC O6 Command. This does not apply to IWC Captains selected by the AC Nominative Panel.
- 4. Additional Guidance. The below information is provided to clarify community requirements and any specific needs, but in no way should replace the Best and Fully Qualified Standard of reference (a).
- a. Sustained superior performance across a wide spectrum of challenging jobs with operational excellence and leadership demonstrated in at least one IWC specialty is the cornerstone to success for all IWC officers.
- (1) Oceanography (1800/6460): Demonstrated leadership and expertise across the full Meteorological and Oceanographic (METOC) spectrum is the bedrock of Naval Oceanography. Superior performance in challenging assignments both within and outside the Oceanography Community is an indicator of a successful officer and future community leader. Assignments and responsibilities for Oceanography (OCEANO) officers extend beyond the technical fields of meteorology, oceanography, and hydrography to encompass all aspects of developing and exploiting information in naval warfare. Leaders of the oceanography community must have a proven record of technical expertise, leadership, and experience supporting Navy and joint operations. Additionally, as a science and technology community, Oceanography has PhD-coded billets. Officers selected for PhD programs will have up to three additional years of NOT OBSERVED fitness reports. Past performance and future potential to lead and innovate should be duly considered for these officers. Under a science and technical waiver, Joint Professional Military Education (JPME) is not required to remain a due-course officer. However, the oceanography community values Joint Qualification, to include progress towards qualification, and experience gained during our limited Joint Assignments. While all 1800 officers will have a Master's of Science degree in Meteorology and/or Physical Oceanography prior to selection to commander, additional graduate level education in science, business, management, foreign affairs, or education fields is valued for all OCEANO officers (1800 and 6460

designator). LDOs should be considered with the understanding that their career paths will not mirror that of a typical 1800, specifically that they are unable to attend Naval Postgraduate School or Naval War College; however, since they often demonstrate strong technical and leadership attributes, their records should receive due diligence and be considered fully qualified.

- (a) Considerations for selection to 05 Milestone: All qualified OCEANO officers will have successfully completed an O4 Milestone tour as a Strike Group Staff METOC, a large deck OA Division Officer or a Navy Special Warfare (NAVSPECWAR) Cross Functional Team Officer-in-Charge (OIC). Superior performance in these pivotal career assignments is highlighted with qualifications such as Staff Battle Watch Captain, Tactical Action Officer, Officer of the Deck Underway, in addition to sound METOC counsel across the full spectrum of operations. Exceptional leadership is another key element for selection to senior positions within the oceanography community.
- (b) Considerations for selection to 05 Command: All qualified OCEANO officers will have successfully completed an 04 Milestone tour as a Strike Group Staff METOC, a large deck OA Division Officer or a Navy Special Warfare (NAVSPECWAR) Cross Functional Team Officer-in-Charge (OIC). Superior performance in these pivotal career assignments is highlighted with qualifications such as Staff Battle Watch Captain, Tactical Action Officer, Officer of the Deck Underway, in addition to sound METOC counsel across the full spectrum of operations. Exceptional leadership is another key element for selection to senior positions within the Oceanography Community. Candidate will have also completed Command Qualification and successfully screened for O5 Milestone. Completion of OCEANO O5 Milestone is not required.
- (c) Considerations for selection to 06 Command:
 Demonstrated success in 05 Command is the best indicator of
 potential success in 06 Command. Strong performance as a
 Numbered Fleet Oceanographer should be considered as a good
 indicator for potential success in 06 Command for those not
 having had a 05 Command tour. Programmatic and/or policy
 experience at major staffs such as OPNAV, BUPERS/NPC, SECNAV,
 the Joint Staff or Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) are
 highly desired. Equally critical are skills and experience
 gained at the Naval Meteorology and Oceanography and Information
 Dominance Forces Headquarters. Advanced education, including
 PhDs, and the officer's ability to lead large numbers of highly

skilled civilians and/or lead in some of the Navy's most challenging technical assignments should be duly considered. Under a Science and Technology waiver, Joint Qualified Officer Designation is not required for Flag selection in the Oceanography Community and therefore not a requirement for O6 Command.

- (d) Sequencing for Oceanography O5 screen panels: The FY-17 IWC Command and Milestone Screen Board will first screen for Milestone. Successful screen for Oceanography O5 Milestone will be a prerequisite for O5 Command screen. All O5 Oceanography officersin PYG 16 who screen for Milestone will become eligible for the FY-17 O5 Command screen panel. Officers in PYG 17 will screen for Milestone but are not eligible for the Command screen panel.
- (2) Cryptologic Warfare (CW) (1810): The Cryptologic Warfare community is built on a technical knowledge of the IW warfighting domain (specifically electromagnetic spectrum and cyberspace) coupled with operational experience and sustained superior performance. CW core mission areas are Signals Intelligence (SIGINT), Cyber, and Electronic Warfare. CW operational capacity is principally organized into IWC Commands (NIOCs, NCWDG, NCDOC) and are presented to the supported commander in both the Fleet and joint arena. CW officers typically develop deep foundational SIGINT and Cyber expertise in assignments at Cryptologic Centers and NSA/CSS. For SIGINT and EW, officers demonstrate expertise in the tactical embedded maritime and expeditionary environment. For Cyber, CW officers demonstrate tactical and operational proficiency under the operational control of U.S. Cyber Command (USCYBERCOM); its naval component command U.S. Fleet Cyber Command (FLTCYBERCOM), and NSA while assigned administratively to NIOCs, NCDOC, or NCWDG. While shore-based, these commands operate 24/7 at the cyber tactical edge and integrate tactically and operationally with fleet and joint forces in planning and executing operations worldwide. CW officers have command opportunities at both the 05 and 06 level. The majority of these command opportunities are dual-hatted within the C10F global mission as Commander Task Force/Group (CTF/CTG) with either regional or functional missions or both. These commanding officers consequently must possess unimpeachable credentials, deep technical and operational experience, superior performance, and character conducive to leadership in command that is innovative and bold, thinks creatively and challenges assumptions, and takes wellcalculated risks to maximize effectiveness.

- (a) Considerations for selection to 05 Milestone: Candidate officers will have demonstrated outstanding leadership and technical acumen in previous O4 milestone and major staff assignments. Operational experience includes Fleet Cyber Command and FCC/C10F subordinate commands, Individual Augmented/Global War on Terrorism Support Assignments (IA/GSA), assignments at Numbered Fleet, Carrier and/or Expeditionary Strike Group (CSG/ESG), Amphibious Squadron (PHIBRON), Special Warfare (SPECWAR), U.S. Cyber Command, or Combatant Commander Staff. Progress toward Joint Qualified Officer (JQO) qualification is valued, though not a requirement for selection. Advanced education (Master's degree, Doctoral Degree, or postgraduate technical certifications) in the areas of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) is highly valued prior to 05 Milestone. The strongest candidates will have demonstrated success in an O4 milestone tour and have leadership experience as an XO or OIC.
- (b) Considerations for selection to 05 Command: Candidates will have demonstrated sustained superior performance in leadership positions and demonstrated proficiency in CW core mission areas. Candidates will have successfully completed Command Qualification and 05 Milestone screening. Completion of CW Milestone is not required. Progress toward JQO qualification is valued, though not a requirement for selection. Advanced education (Master's degree, Doctoral Degree, or post-graduate technical certifications) in the areas of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) is highly valued. The strongest candidates will possess documented successful leadership experience as Executive Officer, OIC, Department Head or other coded Navy or Joint leadership positions
- (c) Considerations for selection to 06 Command: Candidates will possess sustained superior performance in previous milestone as well as major staff assignments. Candidates will be top performers among officers already gated through the Best and Fully qualified promotion criteria, who have achieved expertise in one CW core mission area, and display uncommon proficiency in several areas. Candidates will have successfully completed command qualification. Failure to have served in what is a now-codified IW Commander Milestone assignment should not be disqualifying, since 05 Milestone positions for CW officers were created and have been evolving in the last five years. Advanced STEM education and/or postgraduate technical certifications are also valued. Full JQO completion is valued, though not a requirement for selection. Previous experience as an Executive Officer is highly valued.

The strongest candidates will have previously served as a Commanding Officer. There is insufficient opportunity for all qualified candidates to serve as an O5 Commanding Officer, thus is not a prerequisite for selection to O6 CW Command.

- (d) Sequencing for Cryptologic Warfare O5 screen panels: The FY-17 IWC Command and Milestone Screen Board will first screen for Milestone. Successful screen for CW O5 Milestone will be a prerequisite for O5 Command screen. All O5 Cryptologic Warfare officers in PYG 16 who screen for Milestone will become eligible for the FY-17 O5 Command screen panel. Officers in PYG 17 will screen for Milestone but are not eligible for the Command screen.
- (3) Information Professional (1820): Demonstrated expertise and operational performance in Information disciplines and Information Professional (IP) core competencies including communications, combat systems, space operations, network operations, offensive and defensive cyber operations, electromagnetic spectrum management, information assurance, and knowledge management in operational assignments is the cornerstone of success for IP Officers. Future leaders of the IP community must have a proven record of leadership, at sea or in Naval Special Warfare/expeditionary operational tours and demonstrated technical IP expertise supporting Naval and Joint operations. The most competitive officers will have diversity in assignments to include OPNAV or major headquarters staff, Numbered Fleet and/or Type Commander, assignments in combat zones, and a balance between afloat staffs and ship's company or squadron/detachment tours. Space Cadre experience and acquisition experience are also valued, in addition to operational assignments. Additionally, geographic diversity within an Officer's career is highly valued as it demonstrates a depth of experience and knowledge of global Navy operations and reflects a willingness to serve where needed. As the IP Community is a lateral transfer based community, many officers will have a variety of assignments prior to their lateral transfer to the Information Professional Community. Boards are encouraged to examine an officer's entire record to assess leadership, critical thinking, operational experience, advanced technical graduate education and technical expertise that would translate to success in 0-5/0-6 afloat and ashore milestone and command assignments.
- (a) Considerations for selection to 0-5 Milestone: Qualified officers will have demonstrated outstanding leadership

and technical acumen in an O-4 Milestone assignment. O-4 operational experience includes assignments to Numbered Fleet Commander staffs, Strike Group staffs (CSG and/or ESG), Amphibious Squadron (PHIBRON), Destroyer Squadron (DESRON) staffs, CVN/LHA/LHD Combat Systems or C5I departments, Expeditionary and SPECWAR units and/or lateral transfer equivalent (operational Combat Systems/Operations/Engineering or Commander Task Force staff tours), and Network Operations assignments at Naval Computer and Telecommunications Area Master Stations (NCTAMS), Navy Computer and telecommunications Stations (NCTS), and their detachments and Cyber National Mission Force (CNMF), Cyber Protection Teams (CPT) or Combat Mission Teams (CMTs). The strongest candidates will also have achieved STEM technical graduate education, be fully qualified in IP Intermediate qualifications, completed Joint Professional Military Education Phase I, and/or attained civilian technical certifications such as those required for Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF) qualification. Progress toward JQO qualification is highly valued, though not a requirement for selection.

- (b) Considerations for selection to 0-5 Command: Qualified officers will have demonstrated sustained superior performance in an 0-4 Milestone assignment. Candidates will also have completed Command Qualification and successfully screened for O-5 Milestone. The community values officers who have demonstrated leadership experience in Officer in Charge, Executive Officer, Department Head, Cyber National Mission Force (CNMF), Cyber Protection Team (CPT) or Combat Mission Team (CMTs) Lead, or other comparable Navy/Joint leadership positions. The strongest candidates will additionally have completed an O-4 OIC/XO job and achieved advanced technical graduate education in a STEM related field, and be fully qualified in IP Advanced and Intermediate qualifications, completed Joint Professional Military Education Phase I, and/or civilian technical certifications such as those required for Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF) qualification. Progress toward JQO qualification is highly valued, though not a requirement for selection.
- (c) Considerations for selection to 0-6 Milestone: Qualified officers will have demonstrated outstanding leadership and technical acumen in an 0-5 Milestone assignment. 0-5 Milestone operational experience tours include assignments to Numbered Fleet Commander and forward deployed Joint Task Force staffs as N6/J6, Deputy N6/J6, Strike Group (CSG and/or ESG) staffs as N6 or N6A/KMO, CVN Combat Systems Officer or LHA/LHD

C5I Department Heads, and/or lateral transfer equivalent, the Afghanistan-Pakistan (AFPAK) Hands Program, DEVGRU/NSW N6, NETWARCOM NETOPS Officer, NCTAMS PAC and NCTAMS LANT Operations Officer. Some officers may also have served in cross-detailed assignments to other IWC community billets (OCEANO, CW, or Intel). Successfully completing an Information Warfare Community cross-detail tour is also considered a strong indicator of future leadership potential. The strongest candidates will additionally have achieved advanced technical graduate education in STEM related field, be fully qualified in IP Advanced qualifications, completed Joint Professional Military Education Phase I, and/or civilian technical certifications such as those required for Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF) qualification. Progress toward JQO qualification is highly valued, though not a requirement for selection.

- (d) Considerations for selection to 0-6 Command: Qualified officers will have demonstrated sustained superior performance in an O-5 Milestone. Candidates will also have completed Command Qualification and successfully screened for 0-6 Milestone. The community values officers who have successfully demonstrated leadership experience as Commanding Officer, Chief of Staff/Chief Staff Officer, Executive Officer, CVN/LHA/LHD Department Head, Officer in Charge, Cyber National Mission Force (CNMF), Cyber Protection Team (CPT) or Combat Mission Team (CMTs) Leader or other comparable Navy/Joint leadership positions. Experience on a major staff and prior programmatic and/or policy experience is also desirable. strongest candidates will additionally have achieved advanced technical graduate education in a STEM related field, be fully qualified in IP Advanced qualifications, completed Joint Professional Military Education Phase I and are progressing towards JQO and JPME Phase II completion, and/or civilian technical certifications such as those required for Cybersecurity Workforce (CSWF) qualification. Progress toward JQO qualification is required. Completion of JQO before selection is expected, but not mandatory.
- (e) Sequencing for all Information Professional screen panels: The FY-17 IWC Command and Milestone Screen Board will first screen for milestone. The prerequisite for O5 Command screen is completion or current assignment in an Information Professional O5 milestone assignment. The prerequisite for O6 Command screen is one year past selection to

O6 and successful screening for O6 milestone. All prerequisites must be met by the convening date of the IWC Command and Milestone Screen Board.

- (4) Intelligence (1830): Leadership, demonstrated professional warfighting competence, and sustained superior performance are the hallmarks of a successful Naval Intelligence Officer. Senior Intelligence Officers must possess a well-rounded career that demonstrates proven leadership, Navy and Joint operational proficiency and expertise in conducting and providing operational intelligence (OPINTEL) across the full spectrum of Naval operations. Officers may have expertise in one or more specialized mission areas although specialization should be limited to 2-3 tours for O5s and 3-4 tours for O6s. These include SPECWAR, Strike Warfare/Targeting, and human intelligence (HUMINT). The intelligence community is also investing in growing expertise in collection operations, and intelligence support to cyber and undersea warfare mission areas.
- (a) A limited number of Naval Intelligence billets support the DoD-wide, joint service RAIDER CUTLASS initiative. Officers selected to perform these sensitive duties are extensively screened and complete demanding proficiency training and multiple-tour operational assignments that can preclude them serving in traditional command and milestone billets. Until such time as an alternative career path for these officers is firmly established, they should continue to be screened for milestone and command assignments.
- (b) Considerations for selection to O5 Milestone: Officers will have successfully completed and exhibited superior performance in mid-career milestone (as an O3 or O4) and staff assignments. Mid-career milestone tours typically include assignments with a CSG or ESG, SPECWAR, PHIBRON, ship's company (CVN, LHA/LHD), Carrier Air Wing, DESRON, HUMINT, AFPAK Hands and IA/GSA tours. Changes to the milestone list that removed LT SPECWAR and expeditionary assignments should not be seen as devaluing these assignments, but as a re-balance to focus on LCDR positions. Staff assignments may include HQ staff (joint or Navy), Intel Center, Numbered Fleet, Training command, or TYCOM. Professional competence and leadership experience should be documented in fitness reports where the officer's performance traits clearly exceed that of his or her peers.
- (c) Considerations for selection to 05 Command: Candidates will have successfully screened for milestone duty,

will have completed Command Qualification, and will have demonstrated sustained superior performance in positions of leadership. Demonstrated successful leadership in critical operational assignments (afloat and/or ashore) is highly valued, as is substantiated leadership and management of personnel, and major staff experience at assignments such as OPNAV, Numbered Fleet, U.S. Fleet Forces Command, U.S. Pacific Fleet, or Combatant Commands. Advanced education is highly desired, as is JPME Phase I and progression toward JQO Qualification. intelligence community has a critical need for at least one officer selected for O5 command to have experience in theater Anti-submarine Warfare (T-ASW) or Integrated Undersea Surveillance System (IUSS). This number should only be attained if there is a sufficient number of officer(s) determined best qualified among those fully qualified officers who possess that qualification.

- (d) Considerations for selection to 06 Command: Officers must have completed Command Qualification and demonstrated sustained superior performance in operational leadership and major staff assignments. Top candidates will have demonstrated substantiated leadership and management of personnel, and superior leadership in critical O5 operational assignments such as Numbered Fleet, CSG/ESG/SIO, Naval or Joint SPECWAR, HUMINT, or other operationally focused assignments. RAIDER CUTLASS-assigned officers are exempted from the requirement to serve in an O5 milestone assignment, though they remain eligible to do so. O6-level IA/GSA forward-deployed tours in theater are considered on par with more traditional 06 operational assignments. Major staff experience includes assignments at OPNAV, Numbered Fleets, IWC TYCOM, U.S. Fleet Forces Command, U.S. Pacific Fleet, and/or Combatant Commands. Advanced education and JPME Phase I should be completed and JQO qualification is highly desired. The intelligence community has a critical need for at least one officer selected for O6 command to have significant PACOM theater expertise and one officer selected to have significant CENTCOM experience. These numbers should only be attained if there is a sufficient number of officer(s) determined best qualified among those fully qualified officers who possess those qualifications.
- (e) Sequencing for all Intelligence screen panels: The FY-17 IWC Command and Milestone Screen Board will first screen for Milestone. Successful screen for Intelligence O5 Milestone is a prerequisite for O5 Command screen. Officers in PYG 17 will screen for O5 Milestone but are not eligible for the Command screen panel. All O5 Intelligence officers in PYG 16

who successfully screen for Milestone will become eligible for the O5 Command screen panel. There is no 06 Milestone screen, although specific experience in critical operational O6 assignments (afloat and ashore) that have significant scope, complexity, leadership and depth of expertise requirements will continue to award O6 milestone credit and be highly valued. O6 intelligence officers in PYG 14, 15, and 16 are eligible for command screening.

- (5) CSG Information Warfare Commander (1800/1810/1820/1830): The Information Warfare Commander will lead
 planning, execution, and integration of all information warfare
 capabilities across the CSG to assure command and control,
 generate predictive battlespace awareness, deliver integrated
 non-kinetic and kinetic fires and effectively maneuver across
 the electromagnetic spectrum. Superior performance in
 challenging assignments across Navy and Joint commands is an
 indicator of a successful officer who will succeed in this
 critical warfighting position. Leaders serving as the
 Information Warfare Commander in a Carrier Strike Group (CSG)
 must have a proven record of IW operational expertise,
 leadership, and broad experience in Navy and Joint operations.
- (a) Considerations for selection for CSG Information Warfare Commander: Exceptional leadership is a key element for selection to this critical position. All qualified OCEANO, CW, IP and Intel officers will be in or have successfully completed O6 command tour. Candidates will all be top performers among officers already selected as the best and fully qualified for both promotion and major command.
- (b) The strongest officers will have demonstrated superior performance in O4 and/or O5 operational assignments, to include CSG/ESG, numbered Fleet, ship's company, or other operational assignments relevant to CSG operations.

 Additionally, they must have experience in multiple IW mission areas and experience integrating Information Warfare capabilities into multi-domain warfighting missions. Officers must be able to serve 24 months as Information Warfare Commander prior to statutory retirement to be considered for the assignment.
- (6) Acquisition Corps (AC): All candidates have board screened for Acquisition Corps membership (APM/G/J/T) as defined by ASN RDA Defense Acquisitions Workforce Improvement (DAWIA) Operating Guide (June 2014). These professionals have demonstrated exceptional analytical and decision-making

capabilities, superior job performance, and gained qualifying acquisition experience. Earning membership into the Acquisition Corps is a critical step in preparation for acquisition leadership, but is not enough. The best qualified candidates will have demonstrated professional competence and sustained superior performance in challenging assignments as delineated by each designator's community values. Those selected will comprise an elite group of acquisition professionals with the skills and attributes required to lead and effectively manage the defense acquisition process. Candidates must have completed Command Qualification but screening for O6 Command within designator specific panels is not a requirement for eligibility.

K. R. WHITESELL

BOARD MEMBERSHIP

FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY O-5/O-6 COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN SELECTION BOARD

1. <u>Information Warfare Community 0-5/0-6 Command and Milestone</u> Screen Board:

VADM Michael M. Gilday, USN, 1110 (President IW Commander panel only)

RADM Matthew J. Kohler, USN, 1860 (President)

RADM Nancy A. Norton, USN, 1860

RADM Robert D. Sharp, USN, 1860

RDML Timothy C. Gallaudet, USN, 1860

RDML Steven L. Parode, USN, 1860

CAPT Katherine A. Mayer, USN, 1820

CAPT William E. Chase III, USN, 1820

CAPT Michael A. Brookes, USN, 1830

CAPT Douglas A. Peabody, USN, 1830

CAPT Jeffrey S. Scheidt, USN, 1810

CAPT John A. Okon, USN, 1800

CAPT Steven J. Averett, USN, 1820

CAPT Robert W. Witzleb, USN, 1800

CAPT William A. Lintz, USN, 1810

CAPT Sean R. Heritage, USN, 1810

CAPT Ralph R. Smith III, USN, 1830

CAPT Ronald R. Shaw, Jr., USN, 1800

1. CAPT Michael J. Vernazza, USN, will act as a recorder with the following personnel acting as assistant recorders:

CDR Harold T. Cole LCDR Robert B. Conner

CDR Kelly E. Taylor LCDR Phillip P. Menard, VII

The recorder or an assistant recorder will be present during all deliberations.

2. The following person is designated to serve as the board sponsor:

CAPT Heidi Berg

3. The following personnel are designated to serve as administrative support staff to the board:

RDML Kenneth R. Whitesell LCDR John M. Connally CAPT Heidi K. Berg LCDR Michael J. Disch CAPT Bruce J. Black CAPT Bradley J. Cordts CAPT Paul L. Dinius CAPT Sean P. Fagan CAPT Mark C. Holley CAPT Douglas P. Howell CAPT Mary E. Lewellyn CAPT Gary D. Martin CAPT John F. Meier CAPT Jeri L. O'Neill CAPT Martin L. Pompeo LCDR Christopher J. Schlo
CAPT Sheryl S. Richardson LCDR Jeremy S. Yarbrough
CAPT Henry P. Roux Jr CAPT Martin L. Pompeo CAPT Henry P. Roux, Jr. CAPT Cynthia M. Womble CDR Howard M. Bryant CDR Kertreck V. Brooks CDR Tricia A. Cronau CDR Paul J. Dee CDR Benjamin J. Heinemeier CDR John C. Hazlett CDR Steven J. Skretkowicz CDR Michael L. Smith CDR Jeffrey C. Stevens CDR Brett A: St. George LCDR Price W. Balderson LCDR David B. Clark

LCDR Michael J. Disch
LCDR David R. Flowers
LCDR Andrew Greenlees
LCDR Michael S. Hill
LCDR Johnny W. Kelley
LCDR Stephen P. Milloway
LCDR Christopher C. Muller
LCDR Michael S. Newman
LCDR Timothy D. O'Brien
LCDR Eric A. Polonsky LCDR Patrick W. Prag LCDR Christopher J. Schlobohm LCDR Billy W. Young LT Robert R. Allen LT Corey A. Bell LT Beau J. Blanchard LT Stephen S. Cortez LT Justin R. Fitzjarrald LT Simon S. Davies LT Claire Geilfuss LT Erin T. Janofski LT Tramayne L. Jenkins LT Jason C. Jensel LT Maura K. Leary
LT Ayman M. Mottaleb
LT Christopher R. McF LT Christopher R. McHenry

LT Vincent N. Perry LT Edward R. Polk LT Jesus Rincon LT Van E. Stewart LT Drew E. Thompson LT Donald J. Todorowski LT Mickey J. Williams LTJG Joseph C. Acevez FLTCM(SW/AW) April D. Beldo FORCM(SW/AW) Tuck E. Williams CMDCM(SW/AW/NAC) Andy R. Wilson CWO4 Joseph L. Sahagun CWO4 Elbert D. Brown CWO3 Lathaniel L. Wells CMDCM James W. Osborne PSCM(SW/AW) C. E. Christiansen YNCM(SW/AW/EXW) Leo S. Godet YNCS(IDW/SS) Jon Blish SOCM(SEAL) Kris E. Welker SOC(SEAL) Christian E. Baechli BMC (EXW) Dana A. Brown NCC William Young PSC(SW/AW) Patrick R. Harris PSC(AW) Catherine P. Kuntz YNC(SW/AW) Joel A. Campbell YNC (EXW/IDW/SCW) Byron J. Capps YNC (AW) Raymond E. Boyd YNC (IDW/SW) Lakeysha G. King SBC(SWCC) Isaac R. Smit YNC (EXW) Marquell Vance YNC(SW) Lazandra P. Wolf FC1(SW) Richard A. Neuer OS1 Kathryn M. Paynter YN1(SS) Christopher M. Church YN1 Shannon N. Murray YN1(SW) Joseph F. Scarlata LN2(EXW) Courtney E. Countiss LN2 Lauren M. Hauck PS2(SW/AW) Christopher A. McCollough PS2 Latoya A. Roberts YN2 Christopher D. Brooks YN2 Dexter A. Correll

YN2 Brandon D. Franklin YN2 Frank L. Holland YN1 Kaneisha S. Johnson YN2(SW/AW) Aliscia L. Law YN2 Kemario J. Latson YN2 John T. Myrick YN2(SW/AW) Alexis P. Williams YN3 Michael A. Matos Mr. Rodger Abernathy Ms. Jacqueline S. Anderson Mr. Steve A. Armstrong Ms. Tracey Armstrong Mr. Daryl L. Ballard Ms. Alison Barnes Mr. Julion A. Bend Ms. Wendy Beyer Mr. Robert C. Black Mr. Norman L. Blake Mr. Matthew T. Brooks Mr. Brian D. Bourne Mr. James W. Bowman Ms. Patricia O. Boothe Mr. Doug Burgess Ms. B. J. Callis Mr. Clarence Carver Ms. Pam Carter Ms. Janice Chambers Mr. James C. Clemmons Ms. Linda M. Coffield Ms. Shannon A. Collins Mr. Joel Cook Ms. Sonya Y. Cox Mr. John R. Crotts Mr. Steven G. Cullen Mr. James Cutter Ms. Karen Dapsis Mr. Bennie Davis Mr. Jay C. Delfoe Mr. Richard T. DeRousse Mr. Bernard D. Dunn Mr. Charles D. Eaton Mr. David W. Fischer

Mr. Jeremy L. Fisher

Mr. John Fleenor Mr. Mike Foldes

Mr. John Frantz

Mr. Christopher Garner

Mr. Dennis Garza

Mr. Cornell D. Gaulmon

Mr. Bryan Gillentine

Mr. William H. Green

Mr. Keith Grover

Mr. Richard M. Hammer

Mr. Jim Hammerich

Mr. Dave Hard

Ms. Dorothy C. Harris

Mr. Max H. Hodge

Mr. David L. Howard

Ms. Rosalind Hudson-Phillips

Mr. Jeffery D. Jacob

Mr. Michael Jennings

Mr. Juan J. Jimenez Mr. Carl E. Johnson

Mr. Carr E. Johnson

Mr. Douglas E. Johnson

Mr. John Johnson

Ms. Lorraine A. Johnson

Ms. Patricia A. Johnson

Ms. Crystal Jones

Ms. Melissa D. Jones

Mr. Steven P. Jones

Mr. Daniel G. Kay

Ms. Denise Keen

Ms. Shu J. King

Mr. Alex Kraus

Ms. Karen M. Kumnick

Mr. Randy L. Kurschner

Mr. David B. Lanham

Ms. Sonia Lewis

Mr. Thomas R. Lewis

Ms. Diane L. H. Lofink

Mr. Victor A. Loy

Ms. Nancy P. Lubiani

Ms. Oberia A. Malone

Mr. Donald P. Marshall

Mr. Paul D. Martin

Mr. Paul L. Martin

Mr. Richard P. Mason

Mr. Anthony L. Matthews

Ms. Karon D. Matthews

Mr. Martin McClain

Ms. Lynda C. McKinney

Mr. Christopher J. Meunier

Mr. Gerald M. Moy

Mr. Pratap Muratee

Mr. Corey A. Nichols

Mr. Tommy D. Owens

Ms. Keisha R. Parker

Mr. Drew R. Parmley

Ms. Doris A. Pembroke

Mr. Chuck Pennington

Mr. Richard S. Perry

Mr. James A. Price

Mr. Stephen R. Ranne

Ms. Amy C. Ray

Mr. Dondi Reed

Mr. Stanley Robinson

Mr. Jay A. Rublaitus

Mr. Tony V. Sanders

Ms: Cheryl L. Scott

Ms. Edna M. Shannon

Mr. Antoine Sharp

Mr. Michael P. Simrell

Ms. Angie L. Smith

Mr. Thor Smith

Ms. Ruby D. Snowden

Mr. Lee K. Staley

Mr. William A. Stephens

Ms. Lynnette Stinnett

Mr. Tim Suich

Ms. Jennifer M. Summers

Mr. Jim C. Tanner

Mr. Horace Taylor

Ms. Melerie Thompson

Mr. Robert J. Thompson

Mr. David C. Tidwell

Ms. Elizabeth A. Vaughn

Ms. Martha Uselton

Mr. Carl R. Waelde

Mr. Shawn Walther

Mr. Brian L. Wenger

Mr. Timmy W. West

Ms. Jessica Whitfield

Mr. Christopher A. Wiley

Mr. Bob T. Wilkinson

Mr. Ed Willard

Ms. Sharlene Williams

Mr. Charles E. Wilson

Ms. Deborah A. Wilson

Mr. Jason T. Winfield

Mr. Wiley P. Winter

Mr. Darrence A. Wolfe

Ms. Mindee M. Wolven

Ms. Rebecca Yong

Mr. Alan D. Young

Mr. Christopher J. Zaller

BOARD AUTHORIZED SELECTIONS FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN BOARD

a. Oceanography (1800)

	06	Command	
Eligible:			
PYG 16	(4	Officers)	
PYG 15	(1	Officer)	
PYG 14	(1	Officer)	
Total Rec	ord	s: 6	
Selection	Goa	al: 3	

05 Command	O5 Milestone Eligible: PYG 17 (14 Officers) PYG 16 (6 Officers)	
Eligible: PYG 16 (4 Officers) PYG 15 (4 Officers) PYG 14 (3 Officers)		
Total Records: 11. Selection Goal: 6	Total Records: 20 Selection Goal: 11	

b. Cryptologic Warfare (1810)

O6 Command	7.5
Eligible:	
PYG 16 (11 Officers)	
PYG 15 (5 Officers)	
PYG 14 (3 Officers)	
Total Records: 19	
Selection Goal: 6.	

O5 Command	O5 Milestone	
PYG 16 (23 Officers) PYG 15 (21 Officers) PYG 14 (11 Officers)	Eligible: PYG 17 (25 Officers) PYG 16 (5 Officers)	
Total Records: 55 Selection Goal: 5	Total Records: 30 Selection Goal: 25	

BOARD AUTHORIZED SELECTIONS FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN BOARD

c. <u>Information Professional (1820)</u>

06 Command	O6 Milestone	
Eligible: PYG 16 (8 Officers) PYG 15 (3 Officers) PYG 14 (4 Officers)	Eligible: PYG 17 (10 Officers) PYG 16 (3 Officers)	
Total Records: 15 Selection Goal: 5	Total Records: 13 Selection Goal: 4	

O5 Command	05 Milestone
Eligible: PYG 16 (6 Officers) PYG 15 (12 Officers) PYG 14 (13 Officers)	Eligible: PYG 17 (27 Officers) PYG 16 (11 Officers) PYG 15 (1 Officers)
Total Records: 31 Selection Goal: 2	Total Records: 39 Selection Goal: 18

d. <u>Intelligence (1830)</u>

O6 Command	
Eligible:	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
PYG 16 (11 Officers)	
PYG 15 (8 Officers)	
PYG 14 (7 Officers)	
Total Records: 26	
Selection Goal: 8	

05 Command	O5 Milestone
Eligible:	Eligible:
PYG 16 (26 Officers	PYG 17 (31 Officers)
PYG 15 (26 Officers	
PYG 14 (15 Officers	
Total Records: 67 .	Total Records: 37
Selection Goal: 5	Selection Goal: 29

BOARD AUTHORIZED SELECTIONS FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN BOARD

e. Acquisition Nominative (ACQ)

06	/O6 (SEL) ACQ	
Eligible:		
PYG 10	(1 Officers)	
PYG·12	(1 Officers)	
PYG 14	(1 Officers)	
PYG 15	(1 Officers)	
PYG 16	(1 Officers)	
PYG 16	(2 Officers)	
Total Reco	rds: 7	
Selection	Goal: 4	

f. IW Commander

O6 MAJ	OR COMMAND / 06 Post
	Major Command
Eligible	
1	10 (3 Officers)
PYG 1	11 (10 Officers)
PYG 1	12 (13 Officers)
PYG 1	13 (9 Officers)
PYG 1	14 (10 Officers)
PYG 1	15 (5 Officers)
Total Re	ecords: 50
Selectio	on Goal: 12

Note: The selection goals may not be exceeded. The board is not required to select the number specified in each competitive category if, in the opinion of a majority of the members of the board, there is an insufficient number of officers that meet selection criteria.

IW COMMANDER PANEL MEMBERSHIP FY-17 INFORMATION WARFARE COMMUNITY O-5/O-6 COMMAND AND MILESTONE SCREEN SELECTION BOARD

1. Information Warfare Commander Panel Membership:

VADM Michael M. Gilday, USN, 1110 (President)

RADM Matthew J. Kohler, USN, 1860

RADM Nancy A. Norton, USN, 1860

RADM Robert D. Sharp, USN, 1860

RDML Timothy C. Gallaudet, USN, 1860

RDML Steven L. Parode, USN, 1860

2. Acquisition Panel Membership:

RADM Matthew J. Kohler, USN, 1860 (President)

RADM Nancy A. Norton, USN, 1860

RADM Robert D. Sharp, USN, 1860

RDML Timothy C. Gallaudet, USN, 1860

RDML Steven L. Parode, USN, 1860

3. IWC O-5 and O-6 Milestone and Command Panel Membership:

RADM Matthew J. Kohler, USN, 1860 (President)

RADM Nancy A. Norton, USN, 1860

RADM Robert D. Sharp, USN, 1860

RDML Timothy C. Gallaudet, USN, 1860

RDML Steven L. Parode, USN, 1860

CAPT Steven J. Averett, USN, 1820

CAPT Michael A. Brookes, USN, 1830

CAPT William E. Chase III, USN, 1820

CAPT Sean R. Heritage, USN, 1810

CAPT William A. Lintz, USN, 1810

CAPT Katherine A. Mayer, USN, 1820

CAPT John A. Okon, USN, 1800

CAPT Douglas A. Peabody, USN, 1830

CAPT Jeffrey S. Scheidt, USN, 1810

CAPT Ronald R. Shaw, Jr., USN, 1800

CAPT Ralph R. Smith III, USN, 1830

CAPT Robert W. Witzleb, USN, 1800